	Reference Guide for Third Party Quality Monitoring-2017
Third Party Quality Mo	Annexure 3 onitoring Report of BLC projects under PMAY
Name of TPQM Agency	Innovative CADD Centre Indore
Date of Visit	102,Arpan Apartment 35, Saket Nagar, Indore
Current Visit No.	1 st
Date of Previous visit	Nil

1	Name of the State /UT:	Uttar Pradesh				
2	Name of the City:	Deoria				
3	Name of Project:	Construction of 41 DUs under PMAY 29th CSMC 27/12/2017				
4	Project Code:	09007546	014N0		.017	
5	Implementing Agency/ ULB	Rampur Ka				
6	Location of the Project	All Wards				
7	Type of Project : (i) BLC (New Construction), (ii)BLC (Enhancement)					
8	No. of Houses in the project	41				
9	Date of Approval by SLSMC	25/12/2017				
40	Approved Project Cost (Rs. Lakhs):	Central share	State share	ULB/ IA	Beneficiary	Total
10		(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	share (in Lakhs)	share (in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)
	D 1 6	61.5	41.0	0.0	174.2	276.7
11	Date of sanction of 1 <sub>st</sub> installment of Central Assistance by CSMC	27-12-2017				
12	Duration of the project	1 Yrs				
13	Date of Commencement	Scheduled Actual		tual		
		2	7-12-2017		11-01	-2018
14	Date of Completion	S	scheduled		Expe	ected
			7-12-2018		11-01	-2019
15	Inspection undertaken by (Name)	Shobhit Sha	arma		99267	84529
	(with contact detail) :	Surbhi Cho	uhan		91110	08987
16	Name of State representative present	Not Present				
17	Name of ULB representative present	Anil			7828049060	
18	Name of Community representative present during visit	List Enclose	ed with Ph	otos		
19	Whether list of Beneficiaries finalized?	Yes				
20	If finalised, whether uploaded in PMAY MIS?	Yes				
21	State any deviation from sanctioned DPR in terms of number of houses or size or location or Layout	No				
22	Any other comment	No				

	Reference Guide for Third Party Quality Monitoring-2017
Third Party Quality Mo	Annexure 3 nitoring Report of BLC projects under PMAY
Name of TPQM Agency	Innovative CADD Centre Indore
Date of Visit	102,Arpan Apartment 35, Saket Nagar, Indore
Current Visit No.	1 st
Date of Previous visit	Nil

1	Name of the State /UT:	Uttar Prad	loch			
2	Name of the City:	Deoria				
3	Name of Project:	Construction of 23 DUs under PMAY 36th CSMC 23/07/2018				PMAY
4	Project Code:	0900754614N0				
5	Implementing Agency/ ULB	Rampur Ka				
6	Location of the Project	All Wards				
7	Type of Project : (i) BLC (New Construction), (ii)BLC (Enhancement)	BLC (New		tion)		
8	No. of Houses in the project	23				
9	Date of Approval by SLSMC	20/07/2018				
10	Approved Project Cost (Rs. Lakhs):	Central share		ULB/ IA share	Beneficiary share	Total
H		(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)
11	Date of sanction of 1 <sub>st</sub> installment of Central Assistance by CSMC	34.5 23.0 0.0 54.6 112.1 23-07-2018			112.1	
12	Duration of the project	1 Yrs				
13	Date of Commencement .	Scheduled Actual		tual		
		23-07-2018 07-08-2018		-2018		
14	Date of Completion		Scheduled		Expe	
	Inspection undertaken by (Name)		3-07-2019		07-08	-2019
15	(with contact detail) :	Shobhit Sha			99267	84529
16	Name of State representative present	Surbhi Chor Not Present			91110	08987
17	Name of ULB representative present					
18	Name of Community representative present during visit	Anil 7828049060  List Enclosed with Photos				
19	Whether list of Beneficiaries finalized?	Yes				
20	If finalised, whether uploaded in PMAY MIS?	Yes				
21	State any deviation from sanctioned DPR in terms of number of houses or size or location or Layout	No				
22	Any other comment	No				

Innovative Cadd Center

Authorised Signatory

	Whether ULB/ State have organized workshop/ training	
1	programs to educate the beneficiaries about quality, construction methods, adherence to disaster resistant technologies etc.	Yes
2	Whether testing facilities to check quality of material is available?	Testing facilities are not available at sites, however it is advisable that in the premises of the mouncipal council testing facilities should be developed for the beneficiaries
3	Whether regular tests of materials and construction products tests of materials are being done from accredited labs also?	Niether regular tests of materials nor construction products tests of materials are being done from accredited labs also.
4	(i) Whether the structural Designs are approved / Proof checked by competent Authority	(i) Structural Designs are not approved / Proof checked by competent Authority.
	(ii) Name of the approving authority for structural design.	NA
	(iii) Whether the statuary certificate for disaster resistance design and compliance of codal provision isendorsed by the structural designer on the structural drawings fit for execution, Specially in case of multistoried construction.	Not Required
	(iv) The work is being executed as per the approved drawings fit for execution.	NO
5	Whether the Inspection Registers, Site order book and Quality control Test registers are maintained and endorsed by the Engineer–in-charge?	No, (Instruction given to maintain the site order book, Inspection register & Quality control Test register.
3	Whether cement, steel, aggregates etc. being used in the work, got tested before use?	No (materials being purchaged by beneficiary.)
7	Whether manufacturer test certificate for cement, steel, pipes etc. have been obtained with supply and records are being maintained?	NA
3	Whether Cement is free from lumps and is stacked properly to prevent contact with moisture?	Yes
)	Whether soil investigations of the site proper have been done before the structural design and soil parameters have been accounted for in the structural design/drawings? If yes, attach copy of soil investigation report.	No, Soil investigations have been done

Innovative Card Center

Reference Guide for Third Party Quality Monitoring-2017				
Whether centering /shuttering is checked for staging & propping, line & level, dimensions, cleaning etc. and its quality approved before each stage and record maintained.	No record have been maintained.			
Specific control on RCC work like: mixing by full bag capacity hopper fed mixer, control of slump, placing/compaction with vibrator. (proportioning with boxes not permitted)	Mannual mixing with hand compaction			
Whether cement register is maintained and checked at site. Comment on method of stacking.	No cement register have been maintained and the work has been carried out on petty purchases.			
Whether concrete mix is nominal or design mix? (Nominal mix not permitted for quality concrete).	Nominal mix has being used			
What is the Mode of Concrete mixing (batch mix/manual)? In case of manual mixing, whether mixing is by weight or by volume of ingredients? (Volumetric mixing not permitted).	Construction work is being done by beneficiaries through manual mixing.			
Whether Mixer/Vibrator as specified is available at site with adequate means to run them during concreting?	NA			
Whether Surface of reinforcement is clean and free from rust?	No			
Whether potable water is used for construction?	YES			
Whether proper water / cement ratio has been ensured?	NA			
Whether the concrete being cured adequately as per requirements?	YES			
Whether bricks are well burnt, of rectangular shape, with sharp edges, free from cracks and of correct size?	YES			
Whether				
i) Execution of Depth & width of Foundation is as per drawing/ adequate & bottom levelled	Adequate			
ii) Plinth height is as per drawing/ adequate	Adequate			
iii) Horizontal bands (as applicable) have been provided	Partially Provided.			
Whether quality has been checked by TPQMA/ State agency? If yes, details there of ?	YES, ULB staff has been checked. Found good			
	Whether centering /shuttering is checked for staging & propping, line & level, dimensions, cleaning etc. and its quality approved before each stage and record maintained.  Specific control on RCC work like: mixing by full bag capacity hopper fed mixer, control of slump, placing/compaction with vibrator. (proportioning with boxes not permitted)  Whether cement register is maintained and checked at site. Comment on method of stacking.  Whether concrete mix is nominal or design mix? (Nominal mix not permitted for quality concrete).  What is the Mode of Concrete mixing (batch mix/manual)? In case of manual mixing, whether mixing is by weight or by volume of ingredients? (Volumetric mixing not permitted).  Whether Mixer/Vibrator as specified is available at site with adequate means to run them during concreting?			

Innevative Cadd Center

Authorised Signatory

-	SO.	
S	88	
77	22	
iń	in.	

23	Quality of work and workmanship, Comments on	
(i)	RCC work (concrete, Reinforcement detailing, cover to reinforcement). Whether Columns are in plumb (check for verticality)	Jointing and RCC bands are partially provided
(ii)	Masonry (Joint details, verticality). Check adequate RCC bands are provided.	Masonary work is good.
(iii)	Shuttering (Type of material-pucca/ katcha, support spacing)	Steel shuttering are mainly used but somewhere wooden shuttering are also used with steel shuttering.
(iv)	Bar bending and stirrups bending , placement and cover to reinforcement bars.	
(v)	Plastering	Satisfactory
(vi)	Doors and windows	Satisfactory
(vii)	Seepage, if any	Not found
(viii)	Cracks, if any	NA
(ix)	Honey combing, if any	Honeycomb are observed that in some place
(x)	Any other	Satisfactory
24	Whether provision has been made for Service lines (Electrical, Water Supply,Sanitation etc.)	Yes
25	Whether floor slope (especially) in bath,WC, kitchen, terrace and balcony etc. are proper?	Yes
26	Whether adequate plinth height (above the general ground level) is provided to the ground floor of the building to avoid possibility of rainwater and reptiles in the building.	Yes
27	Whether dampness/leakages noticed? If yes, state location and probable reasons.	No dampness found
28	Whether remedial measures are undertaken by IA/ ULB to stop Dampness & Leakages if any?	
	Whether disaster resistant features have been incorporated?	The construction is being carried by beneficiaries and quality of construction maintained satisfactory.
30	Any other comments	The inspected sites are in order and construction is being carried out by beneficiaries themselves . The construction
31	Report on Overall assessment of quality	The construction
		More supervisory & technical staff is required to maintain good quality of work.

Innovative Card Center

Authorised Signatory

1	Numbers of houses sanctioned in the Project	41		
2	Numbers of houses Completed		23	
3	Numbers of houses in progress	1	0	
4	Stages of Progress:	40 23		
i)	Foundation level (Nos.)	21		
ii)	Plinth level (Nos.)	21	0	
iii)	Lintel level (Nos.)	2	0	
iv)	Roof level (Nos.)	2	0	
v)	Finishing level (Nos.)	15	0	
5	Numbers of houses not started	0	0	
6	Reason for non-starter of houses, if any	0 0		
7	Numbers of houses occupied by the Beneficiaries	Surrendred 0		
8	Reasons for Non-occupation of houses (If any)- specific reasons to be given	Under construction		
0		Sanctioned	As per Implementatio	
9	Size of Unit (Carpet Area)	Proposed avg. 30 sq.m	Most of the houses are 30 sqm	
10	Overall physical progress of Housing (in %)	Approx (28%)		
11	Whether the progress of houses has been ensured through geo-tagged photographs at various construction level?	Yes		
12	Whether DBT of fund to individual beneficiary is ensured in the project?	Yes		
13	Whether the building plan(s) conform to NBC norms?	Yes		
14	Whether authenticated building plans and revisions if any, are available?	Yes		
15	Deviation, if any	No		
16	Any other comment	No		
. C	IVIC INFRASTRUCTURE			
1	Whether provision of Civic infrastructure components (i.e. water supply, Sewerage, Drainage, Roads, SWM etc.) has been ensured?	Yes		

Innovative Cade Center

45	Pradhan Mantri Awas Yojana (Urban) - Housing for All Mission			
2	Whether the Existing/proposed infrastructure components are independent and/or integrated with city level infrastructure?	NA		
3	Any other comments	No		
E. \$	SOCIAL INFRASTRUCTURE	1.0		
1	Whether provision of Social Amenities (i.e. School, Anganwadi, Health centre, Community centre, Livelihood centre etc.) has been ensured?	Yes		
2	Any other comments	No		
F. F	INANCIAL PROGRESS & FUND UTILIZATION (I	Rs. in Lakhs)		
1	Sanctioned fund for entire project.	276.70	112.10	
2	Amount of funds received up to date	41.00	0.00	
3	Up-to date Expenditure	41.00	0.00	
4	Amount of funds Utilized (out of received)	41.00	0.00	
5	Amount of Expenditure by ULB	Nil		
6	Amount of Expenditure by Beneficiaries	Construction work is being done by beneficiaries		
7	Balance funds Expected/due from stakeholders	41.00	23.00	
G. C	OST VARIATION and TIME-OVERRUNS			
ost	variation:			
1		Not applicable for BLC works		
ime	Overruns:			
1		Not applicable for E		
l. R	EMEDIAL MEASURES TO IMPROVE PROGRES	S AND QUALI	TY	
nde	rtaken by Implementation agency to improve the:			
1	Physical Progress	28%		
2		Timely payment of installments must be assured to beneficiaries.		
3	Quality management	Satisfactory		
	Comments and suggestions of TPQMA on above	Construction carried out by beneficiaries are good. Maintain a workshop for beneficiaries ward wise to give knowledge about		
CO	URT CASES AND LITIGATIONS	onstruction.		
1	(To be specified)	Nil		

Innovative Cand Center


1.0	Reference Guide fo	r Third Party Quality Monitoring-2017
J. C		
1	Any innovative/ cost effective/ green technology has been used	NO
2	Feedback of beneficiaries regarding provision of Physical & Social infrastructure:	Satisfactory
3	Reasons for delay in completion of housing and Infrastructure, if any:	Work in progress
4	Any specific observation by the beneficiary	No
5	Overall observation on the project (with adequate photographs covering project to a large extent including quality issues as applicable)	
	Critical observations	Progress of the project is satisfactory
	2. Action suggested by TPQMA to SLNA and IA	Nil
6	Action taken report on previous report:	
	i. Observations of TPQMA	
	ii Action suggested by TPQMA iii Action taken by Beneficiaries/ IA (Implementation Agency) iv Whether TPQMA is satisfied with the action taken	This was first visit
7	TPQMA's Overview of the Project (in 400-500 words) in a separate sheet	The overall project progress is satisfactory and beneficiaries are active, the construction is being carried by beneficiaries themselves and guidance on construction techniques is being provided by PMC. To improve the quality of work regular visit of ULB official is recommended.



For innovative CADD Centre Indore,

Authorised Signatory

R.NO.	ULB NAME -RAMPU  Name of Benificiery	Father/Hushband Name
K.NO.		
1	KALAWATI DEVI	SURESH
2	JHINKI DEVI	BELASH
3	INDRAWATI DEVI	MOTI
4	SONI	DILEEP
5	DAYASHANKAR	PURUSHOTTAM
6	MAHENDRA KUMAR	OMPRAKASH
7	GYANMATI DEVI	SUBASH
8	BAHADUR	JAGGAN
9	KHADERU PRASAD	CHHEDI PRASAD
10	SHANKAR	LAHEURI
11	RAJMATI	SURENDRA
12	KALINDI DEVI	DAMRI PRASAD
13	VIMALA DEVI	BHULAI
14	RAJESH KUMAR SHARMA	NAGENDAR NATH SHARMA
15	INDRAWATI DEVI	NEBULAL
16	GUDDI	SHIVANANDAN
17	GOVERDHAN	MANGARU
18	CHANBALI	GANESH
19	SUNITA	SURENDAR
20	SANJAY	HIRA
21	SHIVENDRA SONKAR	DAYASHANKAR SONKAR
22	GYANMATI	GABBULAL
23	SHYAM DULARI	AWDHESH
24	URMILA DEVI	MANOJ
		DALSHIGAR
25	RAJESH	DURGESH KUMAR
26	SUMITRA DEVI	MUNESWAR
27	CHANDRABHAN PRASAD	CHANDRA SHEKHAR
28	INDRAWATI DEVI	BHARAT LAL
29	SARITA DEVI	
30	BHIM PRASAD	AWADHESH
31	MANTI DEVI	HARILAL
32	PUSHPA DEVI	RAMKARAN
33	PRABHAWATI	RAM NIHORA
34	RATI DEVI	INDRAJEET
35	SUBHAWATI	AMARJEET
36	RAM NAYAN	FEKU
37	SUDARSHAN	RAM NATH
38	RAM BHAJAN	MAHANGI
39	SHAILESH	RAM NARAYAN
40	RAM MILAN	RAM BALI
41	ASHOK PRASAD	VANSRAM



Innovative Codd Center



Innovative chada Center



Innevative Cadd Center